G. R. S. 591

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1896-1897
~~19~~ .
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 2.5 Section II

891-33

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month ~~of 18~~

SUBJECT.

Passes orders on the lands belonging to the Sinnamon Belogola Mattu which are ~~to~~ under Government Management.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	Misc 295-34 - 1-2
	Temp 151-37-1-19

No. 111

Mysore Dewan's Office,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 238, dated _____ received at the Dewan's Office from Nanje Gowda son of Kempe Gowda and others of Suvvanamya Hosahalli, Chenarayapatam Taluk is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ _____ ~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District, for report.

BANGALORE,
7 May 1886. } Draft

By Order,

for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—7-9-85.—2,000.

(General Serial No. 31.)

188 .

From

To

The Deputy Commr
Hakodate district

Dated Camp Imyonzi 28 October 1887

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 495
No.

This office memo dn
111 dated 7th May 1886

Invites attention
to the above and requests
to expedite submission of
report therein called for.

By order

Draft

R. Yi
Chief Secretary

No. 242

Mysore Dewan's Office,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 1020, dated 29th July 1888 received at the Dewan's Office from Sowcar Bharamana of Sravanabelagola, Chenarayapatam Taluk

is forwarded, together with its _____ enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District for early attention. The Amildar's explanation should be at once obtained and submitted for the delay in executing the orders of this office.

From the Amildar's D. 18-8-88

BANGALORE,
8th August 1888.

By Order,

Draft

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—7-1-88.—1,000.

(General Serial No. 30)

188 .

147

From

To

The Deputy Commr
Hassan District

Dated 8th August 1888

Despatched 15 ——

Received 21 Aug 1888

Enclosures No.

General No. 277.
No.

In forwarding
the enclosed petition dtd 1021
dated 29th July 1888, from
Powear Bharamana of
Sravanabelagola, Channarayapatam Taluk, has the
honor to request the Dy Commrs
honor to request a Clarification
to the remarks on the petition

By Order

Draft

Chief Secretary

(General Serial No. 30)

188 .

From

To

The Deputy Commr
Hassan Dist.

Dated 11th August 1888

Despatched 15th

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 276
No.

The accompanying Petition No 1022 dated 29th July 1888 from Powear Bharamana of Sravana belagoola, Channarayapatam Taluk, is forwarded to the Deputy Commr in continuation of the former Petitions. The Deputy Commr is requested to submit explanation for the delay in submitting the Proceedings called for.

B. O.

Draft

Ch: Rey

(General Serial No. 29.)

188 8

From

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 13 } August 1888

Despatched 14

Received

Enclosures No. Incl —

General No. 23

No.

Stating that the Muzrai officer has been requested to re-inquire and forward a full statement of facts in reference to the complaint of Sahukar Bharamanna of Sravanabelagola etc.

28/8

D 7

No. 237
Secy

Dated, Hassan, 13th August 1888.

From P. N. Purnaiya Esqr.
Dr Commissioner, Hassan District

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

Referring to your Ferzad Memorandum No. 242 of 8th Instant, I have the honor to report that the record of investigation held by the Amildar of Chennarayapatna, has just been received. On going through them, the investigation is found incomplete in several important particulars. I have asked the Muzrai officer to go into the case thoroughly and submit a properly prepared & full statement of the case, but if the records are wanted immediately as they are, I shall duly forward them as I may be directed

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

P. N. Purnaiya
Deputy Commissioner

1/T. 163
8

Draft

(General Serial No. 31)

~~188~~

From

To
The Dy: Comr:
Hassan

Dated 29/8/88
Despatched 31
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3958
No. P 71

His letter No: 2370 the 13th instant regarding the complaint of Talukar Nabarnamma of Sravanabalagola.

In reply has the honor to ~~[illegible]~~ state that it is not creditable to the Amildar that the enquiry into this case conducted by him, should be so defective as observed by the Dy: Comr: The Amildar has had the case before him unnecessarily long for no good evidently. The final report of the Inquiry Officer is awaited.

Drafted on C. S. [illegible]

Refers 3/9.

9

(General Serial No. 29.)



From

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 28th August 1888.

Despatched 29th August 1888.

Received

Enclosures No. 2nd

General No 257 of 1888.
No. S.

Chief Secretary's
Docket No 276 of the
4th instant forwarding petition No 1022
dated 29th July 1888 from
Sowcar Baramanna
of Shravanabelagola
complaining against
the Shanbogue Linga-
-naiya.

With reference to
the above has the
honor to refer to his
Office letter No 2[illegible]

10 10 office 13½ Idem - -

H. Durcanyo

Dy Commissioner
Transportation Distr

11

1022

(General Serial No. 31)

188 .

From

To

The Deputy Commr
Hassan District.

Dated 1st
Despatched 2nd November 1888
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1
Camp No. 1569

His No 257 dated 28th
August 1888.

With reference to the
above has the honor to
observe that Petition No
1022 forwarded with this Office
Docket No 276 dated 11th
August 1888 is connected
with Hosahalli Bailey land
Pt
and not ~~connected~~ the
Phan block

12

Schaumburg Lippe Anzeiger &c.

Case as stated in the above reply. The undersigned therefore requests early insinuation of Proceedings called for, in the docket ~~in this case~~.

By order

Draft

Chief Secretary

General Serial No 31.

188[illegible].

8783
27 FEB 89
496

From The Dy Commissioner Shimoga District

To The Chief Secretary to Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 22
Despatched 23 } February
Received

Enclosures No. 1 file of papers

General No. 31
No. Secy.

Subject

Submitting report on the petitions of Sowcar Bhurmaya Karasi Anantappa and others regarding the lands below Hosahully tank in Chennagherra Taluk and stating opinion on the question of converting the said lands from Baltaya to Kandayam tenure.

Encl No 431/5 encls 1 r file.

14

Deputy Commissioner's office.

Hassan District

Dated: Hassan 22nd February 1889.

From

P. R. Ramaiya Esqre

Deputy Commissioner

Hassan District

To

The Chief Secretary

to Dewan of Mysore.

Sir.

× Official memo No 111 dated 7th May 1886.
Do No 695 dated 28th October 1887
Do No 276 of 11th August 1888.
Do No 1569 of 15th November 1888.

Adverting to the communications marginally noted regarding the so called Battayi lands below Survamanyam Hosahulli Tank Cheeroyapatna Taluk, forming the subject of dispute between Sowcar Bhurmanna and Ryots Ranjegowda and others I have the honor to state that in the Dewan's Proceedings No 6701/71 which was a reply

15 15

to the French Rocks Sub Division

officer's letter No 177 of 17th December 1885

it was observed that it is not desirable

to continue the Battayi tenure after

introduction of survey settlement, and

that should any occupancy rights

in said lands be formed meanwhile,

(ie before settlement) the lands should

be sold by competition to the highest

bidder if the Ishmidars decline to take up.

2. Before it could be ascertained

by enquiry which was ordered, if there

were any occupancy rights in the lands

in question, the survey settlement of the

Suvarnamyem villages belonging to the

Taluk took place in 1886.87. In 1887.88

the settlement was enforced as regards

all but the lands in dispute which are

16 (16

noted in the margin*.

3. The ryots assert that they have been long in the enjoyment of the lands in question under Battaji tenure, and that therefore they should be confirmed in their right or that the lands may be given out to them on the survey assessment.

4. Sowcar Bhemmanah contends that he is entitled to be granted the lands in question on survey assessment as a special consideration as his object is to apply the produce of the lands towards the maintenance of a Chuttrum, contemplated by him to be opened for feeding Brahmins Jains and others —.

* 4 Survey Nos } Acres ... Assessment
22 Pitkay Nos } 62. 5 Rs. 310. 4. -

5. Kardi Anantappa and Pootappa offer to take up the lands on Survey assessment agreeing to pay a valuation of Rs 100 per Kandy of land; and urge they would bid high if there be competition.

6. One Mutty Bharmanna states that he would take up the lands paying a valuation being one Rupee more than that of other bidders.

7. The Swamy of the Mutt urges that the Mutt and temple will seriously suffer if the Battaji tenure be ordered to be discontinued and that in such a case the lands may at least be registered in the Khata of the Swamy the cultivating ryots being treated in the light of Shikamidars.

8. as regards.

8. As regards the claims of the Ryots it has been elicited from the Pahani- Battayi accounts of the village which have been available only for 22 years past, that some of the present holders are either men whose names appear in the said 22 years' accounts or their heirs; that a few others allege and have adduced proofs that in recent years they obtained the occupancy rights by purchase from the original holders whose names are entered in the said accounts: and that the rest are persons whose names do not agree with those entered in the accounts. I may state that none of these holders have been able to produce any proofs as to how the right was acquired—

18

originally. (19) 19

9. The applications of certain persons named above to take up the lands on payment of valuation is no doubt to the advantage of the Inamdars' Estate, but it is neither fair to the ryots nor profitable in an unqualified sense, and is at all events distasteful to the Inamdar as it involves the deprivation of the right of the Inamdars to the land. On a perusal of the settlement papers the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, has observed that in cases of Government villages it is usual to confer the occupancy right to the Battaya-holders on the Survey assessment at the Survey settlement; that in cases of Inam Should any Inamdar be

found to have been a Khatedar
prior to settlement he should be so
registered at settlement time; and that
on completion of the enquiry in the
present case the result may be com-
municated to the Survey Department
for settlement.

10. In the interests of the Protection
it is a question whether the occupancy
rights in the lands under dispute may
be given out either to Sowcar Bhurmanna
or to others without putting them to
competition in case Government is not
pleased to give preference to the
claims of Inamdars.

11 I have also to state for information
that the Inamdars who now so strongly
contend for the lands declined to erect

the Survey Bhandos at their cost which was therefore ordered to be met from the Revenue of the Swamanayem villages. These men moreover have not shewn proof that they enjoyed the lands previous to the creation of the Battayi tenure. × Proc No 5-300-7 / 130 dated 27th Nov 82 Page 63 Rev Manl.

12. Strictly speaking, all the lands must be held to have been in the Khata of the Inamdar up to date of survey settlement, for no ryot held the land on Kandayem tenure and the Inamdar collected varam from each subtenant. This is the case even now, pending the settlement of this question. If this circumstance is sufficient to treat the Inamdar as Pattadar at time of survey settlement then the present Khata must be made

be made out in the name of the Mirasdar according to settlement rules. But if with a view of a better administration of the Mram the Government decide to convert all this Batai or Panja lands to Mirasdaryem lands, the question should be raised with regard to the Kulvi rights of the sub tenants.

The following statement gives a fairly correct analysis of the ryots' rights.

Lands held from 1865-66 without interruption by original cultivators their heirs or successors in title						Lands held without interruption during the last 12 years.—						Lands without occupancy rights						Remarks
No	area.			assessment		No	area			assessment		No	area			assessment		
141	46	32		232	12	a	26	7	12	36	-	-	25	7	34	40	8	a	

The last class of lands may be sold outright offering them for competition. With regard to the first 2 classes an average

~~23~~ 23

valuation calculated on the sales of the last description of lands may be collected from the present cultivators in commutation of the Ryotwars' existing rights and lands conferred to them without sale. In case of their refusal to take up lands on the above condition the lands may be sold to the highestbidder.

13. The vernacular file of papers is also submitted for needful reference and obliging return as called for in Govt Memorandum No 1569 of 15th November 1888. —

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

(Illegible) Watts Major

For Dy commissioner

24

Tonka Biramanna.
This is a reminder petition from the above indl. praying for orders on his former petitions re the lands below the Hoballi Tank applied for by him for cultivable purposes.

[illegible]

ಶ್ರೀ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾನ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರಿಗೆ.

ಸನ್ 1889ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ತಾರೀಖು 16ರಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರವಣಬೆಳ್ಗೊಳದಲ್ಲಿ

ಇರುವ [illegible] ಬಿರಮಣ್ಣನ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ.—

ಇದೇ ಶ್ರವಣಬೆಳ್ಗೊಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಧರ್ಮಾರ್ಥವಾಗಿ [illegible]

[illegible] ಛತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವಾಗಲೂ ಬರತಕ್ಕ ಬ್ರಾ-

ಹ್ಮಣರು ವಗೈರೆ ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಸ್ವಯಂಪಾಕವು [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ [illegible]

[illegible] ಬಿರಮಣ್ಣ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್/ಟೆಂಡರ್/2024-25/1847

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು — ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ವಿಭಾಗ — ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು

ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು: ಕೆರೆಯ ಏರಿ ಬಲಪಡಿಸುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಕೋಡಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ — ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 312/4 ಮತ್ತು 312/5 — ಹಿರೇಕೆರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮ — ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ ರೂ. 18,42,500/-

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು ಕಚೇರಿ

ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು: ಕೆರೆಯ ಏರಿ ಬಲಪಡಿಸುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಕೋಡಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ — ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 312/4 ಮತ್ತು 312/5 — ಹಿರೇಕೆರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮ — ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ ರೂ. 18,42,500/-

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು ಕಚೇರಿ

ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು: ಕೆರೆಯ ಏರಿ ಬಲಪಡಿಸುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಕೋಡಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ — ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 312/4 ಮತ್ತು 312/5 — ಹಿರೇಕೆರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮ — ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ ರೂ. 18,42,500/-

2b [illegible]

(Sd) R. V.

6/6/87.

Copy taken from the Petition Register of 1884/85.

ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್

ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ

ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ಇವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಖಾಲಿ ಇರುವ ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಂದ ಆನ್‌ಲೈನ್ ಮೂಲಕ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಮುಂದೂಡ

True Copy —

Ch. V.

R. (Ex)

Secy -

29

(29

Read:
Letter No 31 dated 22nd Feby 1889 from the Dy Comr Hassan Dtg enclosg report on the petitions of Sowcar Bhurmanna Karadi Anantappa & others of the Chennapatna Taluk

Order: A/ 1726/72 26-6-89 & VOI

The papers read above relate to the disposal of 62 acres 2 Guntas assessed at Rs 310-4-0 belonging to the Sravana Belagola Matt in the Hemavathi Pentha Taluk of Hassan District which has been surveyed & settled.

The village which is under Govt management

d belonging to the Sravanamiya Village of

2. The question raised is whether the lands which have been cultivating it at the time of settlement be registered in the name of the ryots who have been cultivating it at the time of settlement or whether it should be sold to the highest bidder at a public auction or registered in the name of the Matt Swamiji as the trustee of the Matt for the time being

Changed with the Survey Asst. and Com Comfi

2. The lands under dispute are reported to be valuable and the question raised is whether they shd be confirmed to the cultivators upon the Survey Asst. to the Inamdar (the Sravanabelagola Matt), or whether they shd be sold subject to such assessment, or whether they shd be registered as belonging to the Pannika a private Khathe of the Inamdar (the Matt) (the Sravanabelagola Matt)

Sowcar Bhurmanna is one of the applicants for the lands, urges that the Govt may favourably consider his request as he, his sons & Grandsons his Annachattra

(30

30

with the proceeds of this wet land.
He is willing to pay for the land at the
Survey Assessment and is prepared to
buy the land at a fair Valuation.

3. As regard the several claims, the
strongest case is that of the
Ryots ~~if they prove satisfactorily~~
~~that they are the Cultivators of the~~
~~land.~~ The Deponent has Enquired
into these claims with some care
& is of the opinion that the Ryots
of Survey No 141 — 66 Acres 32
Guntas, ~~had~~ have Cultivated this actual June 1865/66
without interruption that
the Ryots of No 26, have cultivated 7 Acres 12
Guntas ~~had it~~ during the past
12 years ~~only~~ & that ~~those who~~ the Cultivation of these
~~are in possession for~~ 25. 7 Acres 2
84 Guntas. ~~Each claim stands on~~
~~their~~ has Commenced date from Comparatively
recent periods date
After Careful Consideration
the Govt are of opinion
that ~~the Ryots~~ none
of the Ryots in question
Can be regarded as
Kadim tenants as
defined in the Rev.
Code, and that
they are mere tenants-
at-will under the
Inamdar.
Most facts however point in the
opinion of the Govt constitute
Colour Right. It is also a point
against the Ryots that they de-
-clined to pay for the Land up
and kept the matter before the
Courts.

4. The Ryots claiming rising these Pe-
-riods, it is unnecessary to
decide on the merits of these
applications which must

31

(31)

4. The Govt. has no right to create new occupancy rights by Conversion of Buttaiji into Raiyatwari, in ~~the Case of~~ an Inam Village under Govt administration on trust, unless it can be shown that such Conversion is for the benefit of the Inamdar. In the present case it is abundantly clear that the Mutt will lose by the Conversion and the Govt ~~enjoys~~ insists that the lands in Question ~~should~~ be registered in the Khatta of the Mutt, & and leased to the present Cultivators on an Annual tenancy on the rent hitherto usual.

set up any claim to the land but ~~and~~ offer to buy it provided it is offered for sale. If the Mutt authorities are unwilling to do without the consent of the Head Swami; He will be registered as the Khathedar of the Mutt Enamdar as Trustee for the time being with full discretionary power to retain it or dispose of the occupancy right to the highest bidder at a public sale, in which case the purchaser will be liable to the payment of Survey Assessment only to the Govt.

5. Govt. cannot ~~the~~ Head Swami deciding upon selling the occupancy right in the land, & communicate his decision who by Govt, the latter will ~~execute~~ direct the sale & the latter after issue of due & adequate notice.

V. Proceedings returned

Ry. 30/5/89

28/-

R. F.

32

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue)—dated 6th June 1889.

READ THE FOLLOWING PAPER :—

Letter No. 31, dated 22nd February 1889, from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, submitting report on the petitions of Sahukar Bharmanna, Karadi Anantappa and others of Channarayapatna Taluk.

No. 1726—72, DATED BANGALORE, 6th JUNE 1889.

ORDER THEREON.—The papers read above relate to the disposal of 62 acres and 5 guntas assessed at Rs. 310-4-0 and belonging to the Sarvamanyam Village of Sravana Belgola in the Channarayapatna Taluk. The village which is under Government management has been surveyed and settled.

2. The lands under dispute are reported to be valuable and the question raised is whether they should be confirmed to the cultivators upon the survey assessment, or whether they should be sold subject to such assessment, or whether they should be registered as belonging to the *Pannia* or private Khate of the Inamdar (the Sravana Belgola Matt). Sahukar Bharmanna is one of the applicants for the land and urges that the Government may favorably consider his request as he proposes to endow his "Anna Chattra" with the proceeds of this wet land. He is willing to pay to the Matt the survey assessment and is prepared to buy the land at a fair valuation.

3. As regards the several claims, the strongest of course is that of the raiyats who have cultivated under the Batayi system, paying half the produce as *Varam* rent. The Deputy Commissioner has enquired into their claim with some care and is of the opinion that the raiyats of Survey No. 141--46 acres and 32 guntas--have cultivated this extent from 1865—66 without interruption, that the raiyats of No. 26 have cultivated 7 acres and 12 guntas during the past 12 years and that the cultivation of these 7 acres and 34 guntas has commenced from comparatively recent dates. After careful consideration, the Government are of opinion that none of the raiyats in question can be regarded as *Kadim* tenants as defined in the Revenue Code, and that they are mere tenants-at-will under the Inamdar.

4. The Government has no right to create new occupancy rights by conversion of Batayi into Nagadiyat in an Inam village under Government administration on trust, unless it can be shown that such conversion is for the benefit of the Inamdar. In the present case it is abundantly clear that the Matt will lose by the conversion and the Government accordingly directs that the lands in question be registered in the khate of the Matt, and leased to the present cultivators on an annual tenancy on therent hitherto usual.

5. Vernacular Proceedings are returned.

R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

55

1889.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 6th June 1889.

No. 1726
72

Passing orders on the lands belonging to the Sravana Belgola Matt, which are under Government management

Mysore Govt Central Press, Bangalore.—5-11-89-80

pp 1-33